

**MINUTES  
SEMINOLE CITY COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING, MARCH 27, 2007**

---

The Regular Meeting of the Seminole City Council was held on Tuesday, March 27, 2007 in the City Hall, City Council Chambers located at 9199 – 113<sup>th</sup> Street North, Seminole, Florida 33772.

Mayor James Johnson called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. The invocation was given by Councilor Peter Hofstra, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**SWEARING IN OF NEWLY ELECTED OFFICIALS:**

City Attorney John Elias administered the Oath of Office to newly elected Mayor James Johnson, and Councilors Patricia Hartstein, Dan Hester and Bob Matthews.

**ROLL CALL:**

Present were: Councilors Bob Matthews, John Counts, Thomas Barnhorn, Patricia Hartstein and Peter Hofstra; Vice Mayor Dan Hester, Mayor James Johnson; City Attorney John Elias; City Manager Frank Edmunds and Deputy City Clerk Ginger Stilton.

**INTRODUCTIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:**

Mayor Johnson presented an “Achievement Leadership Proclamation” and the “Silver Certificate of Achievement in Leadership Excellence” to Councilor Patricia Hartstein in recognition from the National League of Cities’ Leadership Training Institute. Mayor Johnson also presented a “Bronze Certificate of Achievement in Leadership Excellence” to Councilor Thomas Barnhorn in recognition from the National League of Cities’ Leadership Training Institute.

Mayor Johnson presented Recreation Director Jim Sheets with a proclamation declaring March 31, 2007 as the City’s “Earth Day” celebration.

City Manager Frank Edmunds introduced Mr. Peter Schatzel of Wells, Hauser and Schatzel, P. A., who presented the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2006 to City Council followed by a question and answer period. Vice Mayor Hester: Mr. Schatzel, just to make sure I understand, we were 1.3 million over budget in revenue for the fiscal year, is that correct? Mr. Schatzel: Yes. Vice Mayor Hester: Do you recall what the number was the previous year? Mr. Schatzel: Off the top of my head, I don’t. Vice Mayor Hester: The other question I had is with regard to the 3.5 million in unreserved fund balance-is that our contingency money? You said we could operate for roughly two and half months without any inflow of revenue. Is that what we deemed to be our contingency in the event of disasters? Because it says it is unreserved.

Mr. Schatzel: The City has not made a formal designation that those funds represent a contingency reserve, but it is certainly one of the points of having those funds and having been accumulating, would be to meet any contingency; however the City does not have any formula of how much you would calculate to figure what you should have in reserve. In my comments, I basically liken it to a contingency reserve-but it is not called that. Vice Mayor Hester: Would we, if the Council and City elected to take some of that surplus and make it a specific contingency reserve with the defined purpose for the expenditure, be able to do that? Mr. Schatzel:

Yes, you certainly can. Councilor Hofstra: What is the remaining actuarially unfunded liability on the Firefighter's Pension? Mr. Schatzel: That number is included within the CAFR, and I will give it to you, but unfortunately the number I will be giving you was determined prior to the 1.7 million dollar payments into the fund, but that number appears on page 51. As of October 1, 2005, which really is the most recent actuarially valuation date; the amount of the unfunded accrued actuarial liability was approximately 3 million dollars. The City's normal cost for 2006 was in the neighborhood of around 7 hundred thousand dollars. So the City made almost a 1 million dollar additional payment into the retirement fund in order to pay down on that unfunded liability, and I would expect that it should go down by-I would say at least in the neighborhood of 1 million dollars in the next actuarial evaluation.

City Manager Edmunds: That is correct. The unfunded liabilities are the result of prior Council actions, adding additional benefits to the Firefighter's Pension Plan. Obviously all those benefits carry a cost over time and for the last three years we have made a concentrated effort to try to pay down those liabilities. This year we did make that payment and paid down a number of liabilities that have been out there since 1992-1993 I believe. Vice Mayor Hester: I had a chance to review it, and once again I would say I commend the City Manager and also members of the Council for the conservative approach that I think they have taken for government. Providing repeatedly year after year, a budget that does not burden the tax payers even though we can do better or are going to work to do better, this city is in fine financial shape and I think every resident in this city and certainly the staff should be proud of their accomplishments and the guidance which we have received from the City Manager.

City Manager Frank Edmunds asked Fire Chief Dan Graves, and Assistant Fire Chief Mike Wallace to come forward, as he presented them with a plaque from the Center for Public Safety Excellence for achieving the highly significant honor of Fire Accreditation. Only 120 Departments hold this Accreditation.

**1. PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

None.

**2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – REGULAR MEETING FEBRUARY 27, 2007 AND THE REGULAR MEETING MARCH 6, 2007:**

Motion by Councilor Hofstra, second by Councilor Counts to approve the minutes of February 27, 2007.

UNANIMOUS APPROVAL BY ACCLAMATION.

Motion by Vice Mayor Hester, second by Councilor Barnhorn to approve the minutes of March 6, 2007.

UNANIMOUS APPROVAL BY ACCLAMATION.

**3. CONSIDER SELECTION OF A VICE MAYOR FOR 2007-2008:**

Mayor Johnson asked for nominations for Vice Mayor. Councilor Counts nominated Councilor Barnhorn. With no further nominations, Mayor Johnson asked for a motion to close nominations. Councilor Matthews motioned to close the nominations, second by Councilor Counts.

UNANIMOUS APPROVAL BY ACCLAMATION.

Having no opposition, Mayor Johnson congratulated Vice Mayor Barnhorn. Mayor Johnson also extended a sincere thank you to Councilor Hester who did an outstanding job while he was Vice Mayor.

**4. CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING. CONSIDER A SECOND AND FINAL READING OF ORDINANCE NO. 04-2007 AMENDING THE FY 2007 BUDGET:**

The Deputy City Clerk read Ordinance No. 04-2007 by title only. Mayor Johnson opened the Public Hearing. Receiving no public comment, the Public Hearing was closed. Motion by Councilor Hartstein, second by Councilor Matthews to adopt Ordinance No. 04-2007 on Second and Final Reading. Mayor Johnson asked for any discussion, noting there was none asked for a vote.

ROLL CALL:	COUNCILOR MATTHEWS	AYE
	COUNCILOR HESTER	AYE
	COUNCILOR COUNTS	AYE
	VICE MAYOR BARNHORN	AYE
	COUNCILOR HARTSTEIN	AYE
	COUNCILOR HOFSTRA	AYE
	MAYOR JOHNSON	AYE

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**5. CONSIDER AUTHORIZATION TO EXECUTE A REVISED PROPOSAL AND SCOPE OF WORK FROM THE FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENT AT THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND FORM-BASED CODE AND TO EXPEND UP TO AN ADDITIONAL \$100,000 FROM THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND FOR SUCH SERVICES.**

Mayor Johnson asked for a motion. Motion by Vice Mayor Barnhorn to authorize the City Manager to execute a revised letter agreement with the Florida Institute of Government at the University of South Florida for Services associated with the establishment of a Commercial Corridor Improvement Plan and Form-Based Code, and authorize the expenditure of an additional \$100,000 from the Capital Improvement Budget for such services, second by Councilor Counts. Mayor Johnson opened for discussion. Councilor Hofstra: Mayor, I am opposed to this proposed action. It appears to me that this whole imaging thing is taking on a life of its own. We are about to spend \$250,000 which appears to me to be a lot of money, when in my opinion, our City staff is more than capable of addressing these issues as they come before the City. We have our Development Agreement Ordinance which has worked most successfully on the Park Street/Sembler project, and I just think that we are going into a Workshop meeting immediately after this session to talk about how our budget is going to be chopped by Tallahassee. I think \$250,000 is a lot of money to spend at this time. Councilor Hartstein: I concur with the sentiment of my fellow Councilor. I think that when I saw the additional \$100,000, I started thinking; a quarter million dollars for what? I am not totally sure that we have been getting the services that we've already paid for. We are already in Phase II or Task II, and I do not know how much money we have put out so far. I am not comfortable with putting out an additional \$100,000 but I could be convinced to go for it if I could hear good justification to spend the extra money.

Councilor Hester: Can I ask the City Manager to speak to this, I believe we discussed this in a Workshop not long ago and was there not a consensus on where we were going with this? City Manager Edmunds: We did have a Workshop in January with the Council, and representatives from the Florida Institute of Government that came before you to explain the expanded scope. Keep in mind that the Council had previously authorized the Florida Institute of Government to precede with an Architectural Standards study, known as the Corridor Improvement project in the amount of \$150,000. During the early phases of that work, the design team asked to meet with the Council to present what they felt would be an additional work effort to develop Form-Based Codes. From that January Workshop, we finally received a revised

Scope of Service to reflect that additional work as well as the additional fee for services, which is approximately \$80,000. The Administration has added approximately \$20,000. This is because we do expect the process to be a public participation process requiring public meetings, advertisements, special notices to ensure that the business community minimally, and hopefully the community at large will respond to those public hearings and provide input. Councilor Hester to City Manager Edmunds: With regards to the comments which Councilor Hofstra made about staff being able to accomplish this task, could to speak to that please?

City Manager Edmunds: Yes. In the most recent example, there was a fairly significant commercial development on Park Street, developed by the Sembler Group. All commercial property did require some variances of City regulation. In that particular project, the City Administration was authorized by prior Council actions to proceed with what is known as Development Agreements, where by the City Administration would work with a developer to achieve their ends, but also achieve the City's desired result. That is, the aesthetics of the building, the layout of the buildings, the landscaping, and any of the amenities that the City Council has expressed that you would like included in future projects. It is my opinion that based upon that effort we did present to you a Development Agreement that was unanimously supported by the Council. So we did in fact arrive at a development in keeping with your desires. We were fortunate that this was a developer that wanted to the right thing for our City. They wanted to have a product that they produced that they would also be proud of. But there may be times when you have to deal with a developer that could care less. They are here to develop a project and leave. In those conditions it would not be impossible, but it would be more difficult to negotiate a desired result without the foundation of some guidelines or some Codes. And I guess that is where this Form-Based Code or the Architectural Standards would assist in that regard. The comment made that staff could handle it; we do have highly qualified staff, however the issue is that right now we do not have any regulation that would guide us on architectural styles. So it would be very difficult for us to mandate that, and we could do it through the negotiation process. Ideally it can work; we demonstrated that it will work when you are dealing with a developer that wants us to do a quality project. The concern would be that on those occasions when the developer has other alternatives.

Councilor Matthews: Looking at the cost for what this project would be, is there a means that we can arrive at those guidelines without having to go through that much expense? City Manager Edmunds: The original work effort in the amount of \$150,000 was intended to develop those guidelines. It was expanded after the Workshop with the City Council to Form-Based Codes to look at projects in a much larger context and provide guidance where buildings would be located, where parking lots, where drainage and sidewalks would all interface in new projects. I think that is what at the time, was of interest to the Council, but as far as the Architectural Standards-that could have stopped at the first agreement; the \$150,000 agreement that is currently in place with the Institute. Councilor Matthews: So we could arrive at those guidelines to keep us in control of what is developed in our community with the lesser value. City Manager Edmunds: I believe so. Mark would you want to comment on that?

Community Development Director Ely: A couple things to separate, one is there is an overall architectural style that the Council may want for a theme throughout the City or in particular areas; that is the first concept. The other comes down to what does the footprint look like on an actual site plan, in terms of where you would want the orientation of buildings; height, etc.? The development agreement process, as the City Manager had stated, would give the City Manager the ability to negotiate specifics relative to the expression of your interests and ultimately come back in a give and take negotiation. The current Land Development Regulations as they are written right now were adjusted so that for redevelopment projects it almost is going to be-I won't say impossible, but it is not advantageous for developers to develop under the strict guidelines of the current Code. Frank is absolutely correct in that if somebody wants to come in and meet the minimum setbacks and the minimum parking, then it is very difficult to tell someone no, you have to push your building to the front of the street or you have to put

your retention pond in the back. So there is some slippage there in terms of our ability to control the process. But on a site specific redevelopment process, the Development Agreement Ordinance that is in place gives the City Manager and the City Council tremendous power to be able to get a quality development on the ground as you did that with the Park Street/Sembler project. So I guess what I would say is that a Form-Based Code is one of those all encompassing things that says that it basically takes a lot of that discretion out of your hands, and says that all the buildings are going to be upfront, the alleys will be in the back, parking is going to be where ever it is going to be. You can write that into your Comprehensive Plan or in your Land Development Regulations, and we are going to have to do that as part of the EAR to get those expressions in law as you would like to see codified. It is a matter of how far do you want to go to do that? Do you want to have minimal staff discretion or do you want that discretion vested with the development review process? It is a matter of scale and intensity, it is control. I hope that I have helped to answer the question.

Vice Mayor Barnhorn: A question that I have and I am going to go back to how we ended up where we are right now. We originally had an idea that we wanted to have some Standards put in place. We were not sure so we brought in a group to give us some Standards and a start, which is how the Streetscape project started. Then we decided the Streetscape is not what we wanted, we wanted Architectural Standards, so we brought them in and now we are talking about Code, which is a different set of standards. Going back to a question posed a few minutes ago, our own staff has sat in on many of these particular Workshops that we had, and have heard the expressions of what our desires are; would it not be more cost efficient to have staff present the same format that they are.

Community Development Director Ely: A couple of things that are important to understand about the way redevelopment takes place is the Development Agreement Ordinance, gives the City Manager the authority to negotiate that agreement, we implement his negotiations at the site plan time concurrent with your approval of his negotiation. So in the sense of it being driven from the top down as an expression of what you would like to see and what the City Manager tells staff to do, it is very, inline in terms of how those things work. We can get the expression of what you would like and what you intend to see on the ground, obviously the City Manager understands that and gives direction to staff and when an applicant comes in, basically they ask me what the minimum requirements are in the Code and most of the time it goes well beyond that in terms of what they want to do. That automatically takes it to the City Manager's office for negotiations on a Development Agreement. Vice Mayor Barnhorn: Correct, but what I am saying is rather than us pay a group to give us their ideas, staff has already worked from the ground/bottom up and could you not take the ideas which you have picked up from us during those particular times and what the City Manager has lead us to and bring it to us? What do you think about that rather than we going through the expenditure of additional money? I understand the need for the additional money, but I am getting concerned that we are going down that same path and once we get there we are not going to be happy with what we see. I am not 100% sure that we know what we are actually getting. Frank, couldn't your staff under your direction come up with a basic idea of what we want?

City Manager Edmunds: First of all, I feel that with regards to the Form-Based Code that we have staff of sufficient quality to offer amendments to our current regulations to achieve that. Maybe not to the extent that we would get from a consultant. We do not have staff that would assist in architectural standards, to answer the question. If I may offer, this discussion was initiated by a general concern on the appearance of our main commercial corridors; Park Blvd. Seminole Blvd., Park Street and Bay Pines. There was a general feeling from the Council that we as a local government should try to improve for the good of the community, those highly traveled roadways. We then enlisted the assistance of a consultant that came up with some Streetscape designs, which the Council ultimately accepted, and we have used in our negotiations with Pinellas County government on some significant roadway improvements; 102<sup>nd</sup>, Park and Starkey and included some of those design features in those roadway improvements. That was not a

wasted effort. My concern all along has been with this particular project is that one; it is a significant amount of money whether it is \$150,000 or \$250,000. It is a planning process, no different than any other planning process although the end result is not clear at this time. My fear is that when we get to the end result, it may not be what the Council desires, and if you do not implement, then we have just spent \$250,000 for nothing.

Councilor Hester: I have been on Council for two years, and prior to that I've listened to different Councils talk about this same issue. I have lived in this City for 25 years and it remains an issue with regards to our main business corridors. These are issues that we continuously hear in the community from people that we talk to. I think every member of this Council has had a conversation with regards to that. My fear is the same as the City Manager's, if we spend the money-at the end of the day what do we have to show for it? That's what's wrong with government; you have nothing to show for it. It will take political courage to make a decision of whether or not you want to change the City over time with regards to its business community and its architectural standards. We all travel and go to different parts of Florida and we see the benefits of architectural standards. I would like to remind everybody here, it wasn't a year and a half ago that because of no Form-Based Architectural Standards, we almost had a "spaceship" put out in front of Seminole Mall. I am sorry folks, all this for \$250,000 is a lot of money and think it is money well spent if this Council has the courage at the end of the study to take a stance and make a decision. If not, I agree with Councilor Hofstra, we are wasting the tax payer's money. But there is nothing that I do, that I look at when spending tax payer's money that says we have nothing to show for it at the end. That is not the case here, if we go into this and we make a commitment to spend \$250,000 this Council better have the courage to make a decision on what we want the City to look like.

Councilor Matthews: I would like to follow-up with some comments in regards to the end of the road in this process. I have a real concern that you are looking at two different things. One being we want things to change, but two-are we going to dictate that change? If at the end of the road of this planning process, after the money is spent, we are going to attempt to dictate a design or something that is going to impose on our citizens a cost, instead of encouraging them with enhancements or some other way of getting things changed, then we are going to do our citizens a disservice. Councilor Counts: I sense a lot of apprehension, especially after the Renaissance Group, and the Streetscape project because I didn't know exactly what we got out of that either. So I also hold the same concerns that much of our Council does, and I too drive down the same streets and see the same visual noise so to speak when it comes to billboards, power lines and what not. I recall asking the previous group are you going to help us with coming up with some sort of grants or encouragement to the businesses to help them improve their facades, and their answer was "most definitely". I don't recall the outcome on that also. So my concern is that if we are going to spend this money, then we need to hold firm on this and make sure we are getting our money's worth. I do have some serious concerns about spending this kind of money.

Councilor Hartstein: At our Workshop, I really felt like we were lead to a decision that maybe we didn't understand what we were agreeing to, and I almost think that the project is going backwards a little bit. We are going forward with something that we are not sure the business community's going to buy into. I really want to have something in place. Is there a difference between redevelopment and development with regard to Form-Based Codes? I do want to set up some standards; however I resent having to pay more for something that I thought we had the \$150,000 Agreement for rebuilding our community. I felt under educated when I made the decision to say we wanted Form-Based Codes. I'm not sure how well this other group is advising us. Are they advising us to think their way, or think our way? Councilor Hester: I would like to comment on a previous comment with regard to at the end of the day what do we have...I would only say this; I do not think this government operates in a vacuum. Everything we have done in the past with regard to major spending, major decisions, major projects is we have asked for feedback. I would not support forcing anything on the business community or the residents. But at the end of spending \$250,000 you need to be prepared for making a decision.

Councilor Hofstra: Mayor, after listening to all the discussion, two or three things seem clear to me. One, it appears from both Mr. Edmunds point of view and his staff that we do need some sort of Codes. Two, it appears to me that the staff is not capable of developing the Architectural Standards Codes. It is my understanding that what we have already contracted with the University to provide those Architectural Standards Codes. Three, Mr. Edmunds believes the staff is capable of coming up with the Form-Based Codes, which is what this additional \$100,000 is for. It is my suggestion that we stay with the contract we have and not ask them to do the additional \$100,000. Mayor Johnson: I personally have a problem with the \$100,000 increase. That is very substantial. We agreed to the \$150,000 to do the study, and all of a sudden here we are with another \$100,000 deal. That seems unrealistic to me that we would do this. Vice Mayor Barnhorn: How much of that have we already expended?

City Manager Edmunds: When the design team indicated that they would like to speak with the Council regarding Form-Based Codes, I put a hold on all other activities, so that we would not be spending money without a purpose. Dependent upon Council action this evening and first just to clarify, we have an Agreement with the Institute for \$150,000, and it is funded. They are waiting to receive word from me as to whether to proceed with just the Architectural Standards or are you going to include the Form-Based Code effort. Councilor Matthews: A follow-up regarding input; I do not recall us having any input from the business community. Have we had any meetings with the business community? City Manager Edmunds: It is programmed in the work effort, but I put a hold on that process until the Council decides on the modified scope of services. Councilor Matthews: In follow-up, what we are working on are the guidelines for redevelopment and new development? City Manager Edmunds: The Form-Based Codes would affect projects of either new construction or tear down rebuilds. Architectural Standards could potentially affect businesses that wish to renovate.

Vice Mayor Barnhorn: I have one last question. If we are in agreement that we would like to go back to the Architectural, what we would literally do is we would take the vote on the motion that has been made, and that would be a decline in essence, and then we would make another motion to go back to the original? City Manager Edmunds: Council previously authorized the City Manager to proceed with a contract for the Architectural Standards, which is now in place as Councilor Hofstra made mention of. If you wish to just hold it to that work effort you would vote no on this motion. Councilor Hester: May I just say once more that if we are going to spend \$150,000 that at the end of the \$150,000, this Council has an obligation to make a decision and move forward, because frankly I am personally, as a resident tired of hearing about all of this stuff and never seeing anything accomplished. Thank you. Mayor Johnson: Is there any other discussion? Vice Mayor Barnhorn: One other comment, we do encourage the residents, we encourage the businesses to give us comments and tomorrow night will be an excellent opportunity for that. Mayor Johnson: Let's call the question. Madam Clerk, roll call vote.

ROLL CALL:	COUNCILOR MATTHEWS	NAY
	COUNCILOR HESTER	NAY
	COUNCILOR COUNTS	NAY
	VICE MAYOR BARNHORN	NAY
	COUNCILOR HARTSTEIN	NAY
	COUNCILOR HOFSTRA	NAY
	MAYOR JOHNSON	NAY

MOTION FAILED UNANIMOUSLY

## **6. CITY COUNCIL REPORTS:**

Vice Mayor Barnhorn congratulated everyone and welcomed them back to Council. He reported he went to Washington D.C. and had been appointed to serve on the National League of Cities "Future's Panel". Vice Mayor Barnhorn gave a brief synopsis on what the Panel does and he described a training opportunity by the National League of Cities exclusive to the City of Seminole. The four hour course, "Legislating for Results" would be at no charge, and the facilitators would come to Seminole if the whole Council could make a commitment. The Vice Mayor asked if this was something we would be interested in and could commit to? Mayor Johnson requested the City Clerk poll the Council within the next three days to find out if there is interest in this? If there is a sincere interest, the Mayor explained we would get back with Council to schedule this training.

Mayor Johnson reported that he had attended the Suncoast League of Cities meeting in Pinellas Park, and Country in the Park.

## **7. CITY MANAGER REPORTS:**

City Manager Edmunds:

- Reminded Council of the Saturday, April 21<sup>st</sup> Annual Retreat
- The Recreation Division will be hosting the Annual Easter Egg Hunt this Saturday along with the Earth Day Celebration in City Park beginning at 9:00 a.m.
- Summer Camp Recreation registration for City residents is now ongoing, it will close this Saturday. Residents that wish to have their children participate in the summer camp activity program are encouraged to stop into the Recreation Center and register before the end of this week.

Councilor Matthews asked the City Manager if there we could do some type of presentation/information segment on the City Government Channel 15, regarding what our Community Policing Officers can do for residents. City Manager Edmunds stated we would try to incorporate that into programming.

## **8. OLD BUSINESS:**

Councilor Counts mentioned that now that the Penny has passed, asked the City Manager is there any undesignated funds which we can look at the Skateboard Park? City Manager Edmunds stated that in the current Penny allocation to the City, it is estimated that we will close with approximately \$1,000,000 remaining. There are funds from the existing Penny yet to be committed, and that will require City Council direction. Of the new/current Penny, obviously Council has identified projects already and this would be a subject for discussion during the upcoming Retreat. Councilor Counts requested Council to consider this opportunity to ask staff to revisit this issue again for sites and for cost analysis. It was suggested that the City Manager prepare a report to be discussed at the Annual Retreat.

Councilor Hofstra asked if the cost analysis would include the operational expenses. City Manager Edmunds stated it would. Councilor Hester thanked the City Manager for his efforts along with staff to resolve the drainage issues at Lake Seminole Country Club.

## **9. NEW BUSINESS:**

Mayor Johnson reminded everyone that they will have the first Town Hall Meeting tomorrow night, at Seminole Gardens Apartments in the Club House. Most of the Council Members will be present along with the City Manager with the meeting beginning at 7:00 p.m.

**10. CONSIDER A MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT:**

Motion by Councilor Counts, second by Councilor Hartstein to adjourn.

Date approved: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ginger Stilton, Deputy City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
James Johnson, Mayor